

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,149	POSTREL, RICHARD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ed Baird	3695	

All Participants:

(1) Ed Baird, Examiner.

(2) Anthony Barkume, Attorney, Reg. No. 33,831.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's Attorney agreed to an Examiner's amendment to correct a typographical error in claim 1.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Narayanswamy Subramanian/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695

Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 7:30 p.m. Eastern time

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)